



GHB analogs confer neuroprotection through specific interaction with the CaMKII α hub domain

Ulrike Leurs^{a,1}, Anders B. Klein^{a,1}, Ethan D. McSpadden^{b,c,d,1}, Nane Griem-Krey^{a,2}, Sara M. Ø. Solbak^{a,2}, Josh Houlton^e, Inge S. Villumsen^a, Stine B. Vogensen^a, Louise Hamborg^a, Stine J. Gauger^a, Line B. Palmelund^a, Anne Sofie G. Larsen^a, Mohamed A. Shehata^a, Christian D. Kelstrup^f, Jesper V. Olsen^f, Anders Bach^a, Robert O. Burnie^e, D. Steven Kerr^g, Emma K. Gowing^e, Selina M. W. Teurlings^h, Chris C. Chi^{b,c,d}, Christine L. Gee^{b,c,d}, Bente Frølund^a, Birgitte R. Kornumⁱ, Geeske M. van Woerden^h, Rasmus P. Clausen^a, John Kuriyan^{b,c,d,j,k}, Andrew N. Clarkson^e, and Petrine Wellendorph^{a,3}

^aDepartment of Drug Design and Pharmacology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark; ^bHHMI, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; ^cDepartment of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; ^dCalifornia Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; ^eDepartment of Anatomy, Brain Health Research Centre and Brain Research New Zealand, University of Otago, 9054 Dunedin, New Zealand; ^fProteomics Program, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, University of Copenhagen, DK-2200 Copenhagen, Denmark; ^gDepartment of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Otago, 9054 Dunedin, New Zealand; ^hDepartment of Neuroscience, Erasmus University Medical Center, 3015 GD, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; ⁱDepartment of Neuroscience, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, DK-2200 Copenhagen, Denmark; ^jDepartment of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; and ^kPhysical Biosciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720

Edited by Gregory A. Petsko, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, and approved June 17, 2021 (received for review May 4, 2021)

Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II alpha subunit (CaMKII α) is a key neuronal signaling protein and an emerging drug target. The central hub domain regulates the activity of CaMKII α by organizing the holoenzyme complex into functional oligomers, yet pharmacological modulation of the hub domain has never been demonstrated. Here, using a combination of photoaffinity labeling and chemical proteomics, we show that compounds related to the natural substance γ -hydroxybutyrate (GHB) bind selectively to CaMKII α . By means of a 2.2-Å x-ray crystal structure of ligand-bound CaMKII α hub, we reveal the molecular details of the binding site deep within the hub. Furthermore, we show that binding of GHB and related analogs to this site promotes concentration-dependent increases in hub thermal stability believed to alter holoenzyme functionality. Selectively under states of pathological CaMKII α activation, hub ligands provide a significant and sustained neuroprotection, which is both time and dose dependent. This is demonstrated in neurons exposed to excitotoxicity and in a mouse model of cerebral ischemia with the selective GHB analog, HOCPCA (3-hydroxycyclopent-1-ene-carboxylic acid). Together, our results indicate a hitherto unknown mechanism for neuroprotection by a highly specific and unforeseen interaction between the CaMKII α hub domain and small molecule brain-penetrant GHB analogs. This establishes GHB analogs as powerful tools for investigating CaMKII neuropharmacology in general and as potential therapeutic compounds for cerebral ischemia in particular.

photoaffinity labeling | x-ray crystallography | HOCPCA | excitotoxicity | photothrombotic stroke

The calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II alpha subunit (CaMKII α) is a central mediator of synaptic plasticity and responds to minute fluctuations in calcium (Ca²⁺) (1). The CaMKII α holoenzyme is a large protein assembly of 12 to 14 subunits, each consisting of a kinase domain flexibly linked to the central hub domain. The hub domain is conserved through evolution (2). It organizes the holoenzyme into oligomeric structures (3, 4), yet displays remarkable dynamics (5). This correlates well with an emerging functional importance in activation-triggered destabilization and release of vertical dimers that may enable spreading of activity (6, 7). Furthermore, the hub domain has been reported to interact directly with the kinase domains (8–10) to confer allosteric control of kinase activity (9). The importance of preserving hub integrity is further evident from a human patient with a mutation in the hub (p.His477Tyr) causing defective oligomerization and severe neurodevelopmental defects (11). Thus far, pharmacological modulation of the hub domain has never been demonstrated but would

constitute an attractive approach to regulate overall kinase function in cases of CaMKII α aberrant activity.

Functionally, CaMKII α is activated in a highly cooperative manner, initiated by increases in intracellular Ca²⁺, Ca²⁺/CaM binding, and autophosphorylation at residue Thr286 in the regulatory segment (12). This is then accompanied by translocation of CaMKII α to the postsynaptic density (PSD) (13). In cases of excessive stimuli, such as ischemic brain injury or glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity, Thr286 autophosphorylation permits Ca²⁺/CaM-independent

Significance

GHB is a natural brain metabolite of GABA, previously reported to be neuroprotective. However, the high-affinity binding site for GHB has remained elusive for almost 40 y. We here unveil CaMKII α , a highly important neuronal kinase, as the long-sought-after GHB high-affinity target. Via a specific interaction within the central hub domain of CaMKII α , GHB analogs act to stabilize the hub oligomer complex. This interaction potentially explains pronounced neuroprotective effects of GHB analogs in cultured neurons exposed to a chemical insult and in mice exposed to ischemia. The posts ischemic treatment effects of GHB analogs underline these compounds as selective and high-affinity potential drug candidates and CaMKII α as a relevant pharmacological target for stroke therapy.

Author contributions: U.L., A.B.K., E.D.M., S.M. Ø.S., G.M.v.W., R.P.C., J.K., A.N.C., and P.W. designed research; U.L., A.B.K., E.D.M., N.G.-K., S.M. Ø.S., J.H., I.S.V., S.B.V., L.H., S.J.G., L.B.P., A.S.G.L., M.A.S., C.D.K., R.O.B., D.S.K., E.K.G., S.M.W.T., C.C.C., C.L.G., G.M.v.W., A.N.C., and P.W. performed research; C.D.K., J.V.O., A.B., B.F., and R.P.C. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; U.L., A.B.K., E.D.M., N.G.-K., S.M. Ø.S., J.H., I.S.V., S.B.V., L.H., S.J.G., L.B.P., A.S.G.L., M.A.S., C.D.K., R.O.B., D.S.K., E.K.G., S.M.W.T., C.C.C., C.L.G., G.M.v.W., A.N.C., and P.W. analyzed data; U.L., A.B.K., N.G.-K., B.R.K., J.K., A.N.C., and P.W. wrote the paper; U.L., R.P.C., and P.W. conceptualized the original idea; N.G.-K. and P.W. performed data visualization; and P.W. supervised and administered the project overall.

Competing interest statement: The University of Copenhagen and Otago Innovation Ltd. have licensed the patent rights for GHB derivatives and their uses (WO/2019/149329) to Ceremedy Ltd., of which B.F., B.R.K., and P.W. are cofounders.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).


¹U.L., A.B.K., and E.D.M. contributed equally to this work.

²N.G.-K. and S.M.Ø.S. contributed equally to this work.

³To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: pw@sund.ku.dk.

This article contains supporting information online at <https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2108079118/-DCSupplemental>.

Published July 30, 2021.



autonomous activity which can persist for hours (14–16) and cause cell death (17).

The natural brain substance γ -hydroxybutyrate (GHB) is a metabolite of γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) which has been reported to be neuroprotective in mammals (18–20). GHB binds with high affinity to an until-now unknown specific binding protein highly expressed in forebrain regions (21). This site is distinct from GABA_B receptors also known to bind GHB, albeit with low affinity (22). We here reveal CaMKII α as the long-sought-after specific GHB high-affinity binding site (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1*). Moreover, we show that the highly selective and brain-penetrant GHB analog 3-hydroxycyclopent-1-enecarboxylic acid (HOCPA) (23) confers significant neuroprotection in pathological states of CaMKII activation, such as after an ischemic injury. This is plausibly explained by the pronounced effect of GHB analogs on CaMKII α hub stabilization upon binding and consequently functional regulation of the holoenzyme, although a causal link remains to be fully proven.

Results

CaMKII α Is the Specific High-Affinity Target for GHB. To enable unbiased identification of the elusive GHB high-affinity binding site, we designed a photolabile diazide-labeled GHB analog, 4-((3-azido-5-(azidomethyl)benzyl)oxy)phenyl)-4-hydroxybutanoate (SBV3), based on previous studies on GHB substituted in the 4-position with biaromatic moieties (24, 25). SBV3 bears two orthogonal azides: a photolabile aromatic azide for linking and an aliphatic azide that is not photolabile but is employed in a bio-orthogonal click reaction (26) (Fig. 1A). We found that this compound possesses high affinity for the binding site present in cortical homogenate (K_1 66 nM; *SI Appendix, Fig. S2*). Both the Huisgen click reaction and the Bertozzi–Staudinger ligation were employed for biotin labeling of the photolinked target protein under various conditions, with the latter giving the best result (*SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A–C*). To enable differentiation of endogenously biotinylated proteins from the biotin-labeled GHB target, SBV3 was incubated with rat hippocampal membranes in competition with




Fig. 1. Identification of CaMKII α as the specific GHB high-affinity target. (A–D) Target identification using a combination of photoaffinity labeling (PAL), affinity purification, and chemical quantitative proteomics. (A) Bioorthogonal approach using the photolabile diazide-labeled GHB analog SBV3 (GHB moiety in blue), in competition with 5-HDC (orange) for PAL followed by biotin-ligation. (B) Representative anti-biotin Western blot after PAL and concentration-dependent competition with 5-HDC (see also *SI Appendix, Fig. S2*). (C) Identification of CaMKII α from LC-MS/MS data as the best hit from nonlinear regression analysis for all proteins and (D) concentration-dependent competition of the best hits (proteins were quantified using the label-free quantification [LFQ] algorithm) (see also *Dataset S1*). (E) Target validation by $[^3\text{H}]\text{HOCPA}$ autoradiography using brain slices from *Camk2a* and *Camk2b*^{+/+} and ^{-/-} mice (cresyl violet staining for tissue visualization) (see also *SI Appendix, Fig. S3*). (F–H) Target validation by $[^3\text{H}]\text{HOCPA}$ binding to whole-cell homogenate from HEK293T cells transfected with CaMKII α . (F) $[^3\text{H}]\text{HOCPA}$ saturation binding to CaMKII α ($n = 5$); shown is one representative curve (means \pm SD). (G) $[^3\text{H}]\text{HOCPA}$ competition binding to CaMKII α in the presence of GHB ($n = 3$), HOCPA ($n = 5$), and 5-HDC ($n = 3$), pooled data (means \pm SEM) (see also *SI Appendix, Table S1*). (H) Subtype-selective specific $[^3\text{H}]\text{HOCPA}$ binding for CaMKII α vs CaMKII β . Data are pooled ($n = 3$) for each subtype and depicted as specific binding (% of total) (see also *SI Appendix, Fig. S4A*).

5-hydroxydiclofenac (5-HDC), a derivative of diclofenac (27) and incidentally, the most high-affinity GHB ligand reported to date (K_1 35 nM; *SI Appendix, Fig. S2*). Hereby, we could obtain concentration-dependent binding profiles (Fig. 1B and *SI Appendix, Fig. S2*). Streptavidin affinity purification followed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis identified 1,184 proteins that were analyzed for concentration-dependent profiles. CaMKII α was identified as the top candidate

($R^2 = 0.81$; abundance 7.97×10^9) (Fig. 1C), clearly superior with respect to abundance and goodness of the curve fit to the next-in-line candidates, four of which are in fact known CaMKII α interactors (28) (Fig. 1D; summarized in *SI Appendix, Table S1*). Furthermore, the identified molecular mass (55 kDa), the brain regional expression profile (forebrain abundance), and ontogenesis match very well with both CaMKII α and our previously reported specific GHB binding site (29–31).




Fig. 2. GHB analogs bind the CaMKII α hub domain. (A) Schematic of a single CaMKII α subunit composed of a kinase domain (gray), regulatory segment (green), linker (yellow), and hub domain (lilac). Twelve to 14 hub domains oligomerize into the holoenzyme, shown here in an activated form. (B) Concentration-dependent binding of 5-HDC to immobilized CaMKII α 6x Hub measured by surface plasmon resonance (Top); Langmuir-binding isotherm (Bottom), representative data (see also *SI Appendix, Fig. S4 B–F*). (C) Absence of [3 H]HOCPCA binding to the CaMKII α mutant lacking the hub domain (Δ hub) with representative Western blot showing expected sizes. Na $^+$ /K $^+$ -ATPase was used as a loading control; red arrows indicate the relevant bands. (D) X-ray crystal structure of 5-HDC bound to the CaMKII α 6x Hub (14-mer). (E) Close-up view of a single hub subunit showing the key molecular interactions with displacement (flip) of Trp403 with ligand bound highlighted. (F) Ball and stick model of key binding residues (bold), nearby residues, and hydrogen bonds in green-dashed lines (for electron densities, reference *SI Appendix, Fig. S5*). (G) Quenching of intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence caused by Trp403 flip (6x Hub) with increasing concentrations of 5-HDC (Left) and resulting inhibition curve (Right) ($n = 8$, pooled data (means \pm SEM) (see also *SI Appendix, Fig. S6 A–C*).

Validation of CaMKII α as the High-Affinity GHB Target. To validate CaMKII α as the specific GHB target, we carried out a number of experiments in native tissues, cortical homogenate, and recombinant CaMKII α utilizing selective GHB analogs. These included the cyclic GHB analog HOCPCA that displays no affinity for low-affinity GABA $_B$ receptors (23), the commercially available NCS-382, and their derived radioligands (32–34). By means of *in vitro* autoradiography, we show that [3 H]HOCPCA (Fig. 1E), [3 H]NCS-382, and [3 H]GHB (SI Appendix, Fig. S3) display intact binding to brain tissue of *Camk2a*^{+/+}, *Camk2b*^{+/+}, and *Camk2b*^{-/-} mice but complete lack of binding to slices from *Camk2a*^{-/-} mice. This striking CaMKII *alpha* subtype selectivity was further corroborated by the absence of binding of the photoligand SBV3 to homogenate from *Camk2a*^{-/-} mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Additionally, to validate binding in an overexpressed system, we prepared whole-cell homogenates from CaMKII α -transfected HEK293T cells and demonstrate a saturable [3 H]HOCPCA binding profile (Fig. 1F; summarized in SI Appendix, Table S2), as well as concentration-dependent inhibition by GHB, HOCPCA, and 5-HDC in the expected relative rank order (Fig. 1G; summarized in SI Appendix, Table S3). Finally, no [3 H]HOCPCA binding was observed to CaMKII $\beta/\gamma/\delta$ subtypes heterologously expressed in HEK293T cells (Fig. 1H and SI Appendix, Fig. S4). To our knowledge, such CaMKII α subtype selectivity is completely unforeseen.

Structural Evidence for a Hub Domain Ligand Binding Site. Potential ligand binding sites in CaMKII α include the kinase domain, the regulatory segment, and the hub domain (Fig. 2A). From previous crystal structures (4), we hypothesized that a deep cavity in the hub that contains several positively charged arginine (Arg) residues is the binding pocket for GHB analogs. By surface plasmon resonance, we detected binding to the isolated CaMKII α hub by GHB analogs, the strongest interaction being with 5-HDC (K_D 0.30 μ M) (Fig. 2B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4 B–F). Further evidence for selective binding to the hub was obtained using HEK293T cells transiently expressing a CaMKII α hub deletion mutant (Δ hub, 35 kDa) that showed complete absence of [3 H]HOCPCA binding (Fig. 2C). Final proof of the binding location was obtained from cocrystallization with the human hub domain. Using a previously reported stabilized form of the hub (6x Hub) (2), we obtained an x-ray crystal structure of the tetradecameric CaMKII α hub oligomer bound to 5-HDC (2.2-Å resolution) (Fig. 2 D–F, further structural data are provided in SI Appendix, Fig. S5 and Table S4). The structure highlights direct interactions of 5-HDC with the predicted Arg residues 433, 453, 469, and His395 (Fig. 2F) (4). The structure also reveals a distinct conformational shift in Trp403 located at the edge of the binding pocket upon 5-HDC binding (Fig. 2E). This “Trp flip” was further consolidated using an in-house-developed intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence assay for the isolated hub domain protein (Fig. 2G, modeled in SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Convincingly, in this assay, 5-HDC exhibited a concentration-dependent quenching of the fluorescence in both the 6x Hub mutant and the wild-type (WT) hub protein (half maximal inhibitory concentration [IC $_{50}$] values of 1.81 and 1.47 μ M, respectively), which was, however, not seen for HOCPCA (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). This likely reflects the smaller size of HOCPCA, allowing for the simultaneous occupancy of HOCPCA and Trp403 inside the binding cavity, also supported by modeling (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C).

GHB Analogs Stabilize CaMKII α Hub Oligomer Formation. Intrigued by GHB analogs binding in a specific hub cavity and the functional importance of hub oligomerization for normal CaMKII α function (6, 7, 35), we investigated a potential effect of the compounds on hub dynamics. Employing a thermal shift assay of the purified CaMKII α WT hub protein using differential scanning fluorimetry, we observed pronounced effects on protein denaturation. In the presence of saturating concentrations of each of the three compounds GHB, HOCPCA, and 5-HDC, extensive concentration-

dependent stabilization of the hub domain was observed, resulting in respective maximum T_m increases of 13, 15, and 29 °C (Fig. 3 A–C and SI Appendix, Fig. S6 D and E). As shown, the thermal shift curves were concentration-dependent with potencies corresponding with the relative affinity rank order of the compounds obtained in radioligand binding assays on cortical homogenate: 5-HDC > HOCPCA > GHB (SI Appendix, Table S3). However, whereas these data confirm the binding site to the hub and a marked effect on hub stability, they offer no direct interpretation of downstream functional effects resulting from this.

Lack of Effects of GHB Ligands on Basal and Stimulated CaMKII α Activity. To explore a potential functional relevance of the hub binding site




Fig. 3. GHB analogs stabilize the hub but fail to affect CaMKII enzymatic activity under basal, nonpathological conditions. (A) Right-shifted thermal shift assay melting curves of CaMKII α WT hub upon binding of GHB, HOCPCA and 5-HDC, (B) HOCPCA concentration dependence, and (C) saturation isotherm; representative data (see also SI Appendix, Fig. S5 D and E). (D) GHB analogs do not affect syntide-II phosphorylation by CaMKII α , CN21 as positive control, pooled data ($n = 3$, means \pm SEM). (E and F) CaMKII α Thr286 autophosphorylation quantified by Western blot in cultured cortical neurons (days *in vitro* [DIV] 18 to 20). No effect of HOCPCA under (E) basal and (F) Ca^{2+} -stimulated conditions. Shown is quantification of mean band intensities of Ca^{2+} -stimulated pThr286 levels normalized to total CaMKII α expression with 50 to 100 μ M Ca^{2+} alone or together with HOCPCA (3 mM) for 1 h. (G and H) Representative representative Western blots. GAPDH was used as loading control. (E and F) Number in bar diagrams indicates number of experiments/individual cultures. Box plots (boxes, 25 to 75%; whiskers, minimum and maximum; lines, median) (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Dunnett’s test).

on CaMKII activity, we probed the ability of compounds (GHB, HOCPCA, and 5-HDC; CN21 peptide as control) to inhibit phosphorylation of a commonly used peptide substrate (syntide) in the ADP-Glo assay employing recombinant CaMKII α and a fixed concentration of CaM. Whereas we observed the expected concentration-dependent inhibition of CaMKII activation by syntide by CN21, no effect was seen of the three GHB analogs applied over a wide concentration span (Fig. 3D).

In order to study the effects of the compounds on the enzymatic activity of CaMKII in a more physiological setting, we also assessed Thr286 autophosphorylation levels in mouse primary cortical neurons, optimized to ensure a native high expression of CaMKII α and ability to bind [3 H]HOCPCA (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). In these studies, as well as in subsequent *in vivo* studies, HOCPCA was selected as model compound due to its high selectivity and affinity for CaMKII α (Fig. 1E), as well as its known cellular and brain permeability (32, 36). Following compound stimulation for 1 h, pThr286 levels were measured by Western blotting. Whereas KN93, a reported CaM inhibitor (37), showed significant inhibition of Thr286 autophosphorylation already at basal conditions, HOCPCA (3 mM) did not affect Thr286 autophosphorylation during basal nor Ca $^{2+}$ -stimulated conditions (Fig. 3E–H). In line with this overall lack of effect on CaMKII α enzymatic activity, bath application of HOCPCA did not inhibit classical long-term potentiation (LTP) induced in mouse hippocampal slices when given as a single dose (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). These findings underline that binding to the hub does not per se affect the enzymatic function of CaMKII α under naïve nonpathological conditions.

CaMKII α Hub Ligands Are Cellular Protectants. Previous studies have reported GHB to be neuroprotective after ischemia (18–20) by yet-unknown mechanisms. Given our findings that GHB ligands strongly impair CaMKII α hub dynamics, we hypothesized that an effect might depend on the activation state of the holoenzyme. In order to investigate this, we designed a number of experiments to examine the neuroprotective effect of HOCPCA in neurons subjected to excitotoxicity. Under such circumstances, intracellular Ca $^{2+}$ levels rise, resulting in CaMKII α autonomy (16, 17) and translocation to the PSD, where it colocalizes with the NMDA-type glutamate receptor subunit GluN2B (13). As tat-CN21 is known to be neuroprotective after this type of insult to neurons and inhibit GluN2B colocalization (38), we used this compound for comparison.

In C57/B6-derived cortical neurons, excitotoxicity was induced by a high concentration of L-glutamate (Glu), as described by others (39), and cell viability quantified by lactate dehydrogenase activity (Fig. 4A). Using this protocol, we observed a strong susceptibility to cell death by a brief Glu stimulus (Fig. 4B), which was CaMKII dependent since tat-CN21 significantly reduced cell death (Fig. 4C). Application of HOCPCA (1 mM) immediately or 30 min after stimulation did not have any effect on cell survival (Fig. 4C, Left). However, application of HOCPCA 1 h after the noxious Glu stimulus, significantly reduced cell death which was found to be concentration dependent (Fig. 4C, Right). Similar findings were obtained with live/dead staining on primary hippocampal cultures from FvB mice, as also here application of HOCPCA (1 mM) 1 h poststimulation resulted in a significantly increased cell live/dead ratio (Fig. 4D).

To address target engagement, this experimental setup was repeated in neurons isolated from *Camk2a* $^{+/+}$ and *Camk2a* $^{-/-}$ mouse littermates. These experiments are unavoidably endowed with more variability, as individual embryos were used for neuron isolation to permit littermate matching by genotyping. In *Camk2a* $^{+/+}$ neurons, we observed a significant Glu response (56% mean cell death), which was significantly improved in the tat-CN21 condition. HOCPCA application showed a trend, though not significant, toward improved live/dead ratio (18% mean recovery compared with the Glu-only condition). (Fig. 4E and F). Interestingly, in

Camk2a $^{-/-}$ neurons, we observed a milder but still significant Glu response. In these neurons, neither tat-CN21 nor HOCPCA improved cell survival. As HOCPCA presented with a very high *P* value (>0.9999) in the test for statistical difference between control and HOCPCA conditions, we also tested the meaningful hypothesis that Glu and HOCPCA treatments are equivalent in *Camk2a* $^{-/-}$ neurons (40). In this test, HOCPCA was found to be equal to Glu with at least 95% confidence (90% CI [−0.202–0.198] live/dead ratio) whereas CN21 was not (90% CI [−0.093–0.381]).

Finally, to visualize the direct effect of the compound on CaMKII under these conditions, we examined the GluN2B–CaMKII α colocalization in hippocampal dendritic spines after Glu stimulation in presence or absence of the compound. As a control, tat-CN21 was taken along again, which blocked the increased GluN2B–CaMKII α colocalization as expected (38, 41). Strikingly, application of HOCPCA blocked the increase in GluN2B–CaMKII α colocalization, similar to tat-CN21 (Fig. 4G and H). These data show that HOCPCA behaves similar to the CaMKII-specific inhibitor tat-CN21 in neurons despite having a different binding domain on CaMKII, thereby adding to the mounting evidence that the observed neuroprotective effect of HOCPCA is mediated via CaMKII α .

CaMKII α Hub Ligands Afford Lasting Neuroprotection In Vivo. For *in vivo* validation of the HOCPCA effect, we turned to a model of ischemic stroke, employing a noninvasive, well-validated photothrombotic model of focal ischemic injury in C57/B6 mice, which allows for evaluation of infarct sizes and motor performance (42). Similar to other models of stroke, we confirmed a CaMKII α -relevant pathogenesis (16), as evidenced by an elevation in pThr286 levels 3 to 12 h postinjury (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). This guided the timing of compound treatment for the *in vivo* studies (Fig. 5A). Given the reported neuroprotective action of GHB *in vivo* (18–20), we hypothesized that this effect is mediated at least in part by interaction with the CaMKII α hub (K_i 3.0 μ M; SI Appendix, Table S3). However, as GHB is also known to bind to GABA $_B$ receptors, and this mediates hypothermia and sedation (22) albeit with much lower affinity (K_i 230 μ M) (23), we also considered that a GABA $_B$ receptor-mediated effect could contribute to the neuroprotective action of GHB. The obvious way to discern this is to use HOCPCA as this compound exhibits submicromolar affinity for the CaMKII α hub domain (K_i 0.13 μ M) and, most importantly, has been reported to lack affinity to native GABA $_B$ receptors at 1 mM (23). To further underscore the absence of GABA $_B$ effects of HOCPCA *in vivo*, we compared the effects of GHB and HOCPCA on body temperature in C57/B6 mice. Whereas GHB (275 mg/kg) by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection produced the expected GABA $_B$ -mediated strong hypothermia, a high dose of HOCPCA (175 mg/kg) produced no hypothermia (SI Appendix, Fig. S10A).

Turning now to the stroke model, we initially tested both GHB (275 mg/kg) and HOCPCA (17.5 and 175 mg/kg) at an early time point (30 min poststroke). In both cases, infarct volumes were significantly reduced with the high dose of each compound (SI Appendix, Fig. S10B). Compellingly, when HOCPCA (175 mg/kg) was administered later, at 3, 6, and 12 h postphotothrombotic stroke (Fig. 5A), it resulted in a more-pronounced reduction in infarct volume by ~40 to 50% measured 7 d poststroke (Fig. 5B). This was accompanied by improvements in motor coordination measured in paw placement on the grid-walking task (Fig. 5C) and in forelimb asymmetry in the cylinder test (SI Appendix, Fig. S10C).

To point to a preclinical relevance, significant treatment effects of single doses of HOCPCA were confirmed in both aged female mice (175 mg/kg; Fig. 5D) and with a lower dose in young males (90 mg/kg) (SI Appendix, Fig. S10D–F). To provide target engagement by HOCPCA in these effects, we again tried to make use of the *Camk2a* $^{-/-}$ mice. As seen in other models of stroke (43), our photothrombotic stroke model likewise produced much larger infarcts in *Camk2a* $^{-/-}$ compared with *Camk2a* $^{+/+}$ littermates (SI Appendix, Fig.




Fig. 4. Neuroprotective effects of HOCPCA in cultured neurons. (A and C) Excitotoxicity experiments in cultured cortical neurons (DIV 16 to 18). (A and B) Timeline and Glu–Gly response curve for testing neuroprotective effects of compounds by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release (see also *SI Appendix, Fig. S7*). Maximum cell death was obtained with 100 μ M Glu/20 μ M Gly and above (data normalized to maximum cell death), pooled data from five different cultures (means \pm SEM). (C) Time- (Left) and concentration-dependent effects of HOCPCA (0.1 to 3 mM) (Right) on cell survival at 24 h in cultured cortical neurons stimulated with 100 to 200/20 μ M Glu/Gly for 1 h (tat-CN21 as control). Cell death was normalized to maximum cell death as measured by LDH release (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Dunnett's test). (D and H) Excitotoxicity experiments in cultured hippocampal neurons isolated from either FvB or C57/B6 mice. (D) HOCPCA (1 mM) improves cell survival when applied 1 h after a Glu-excitotoxic insult (400 μ M Glu) in pooled FvB neurons (DIV 16 to 17) (tat-CN21 as control). Live–dead cell ratio was measured by calcein AM and ethidium homodimer-1 staining and normalized to control condition (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Dunnett's test). (E and F) Cell survival effects in neurons (DIV 16 to 17) isolated from *Camk2a*^{+/+} and ^{-/-} littermates (C57/B6), showing consistent Glu-induced cell death. The effect of HOCPCA observed in ^{+/+} neurons is partial, yet completely absent in ^{-/-} neurons (95% CI, test for equality; dotted line and marked by *). (G) Quantification of GluN2B–CaMKII α colocalization in hippocampal neurons (DIV 14 to 19) exposed to Glu (400 μ M) for 2 min and immediately fixed. (H) Representative immunostained images. The dotted lines indicate the outlines of the dendrite. Colocalization of CaMKII α (red) with the GluN2B-positive dots (green) was measured within the dotted lines. Note the punctuated CaMKII α pattern in the dendrite upon stimulation in the vehicle condition, which is absent with HOCPCA (2 mM) and tat-CN21. (C and G) Number in bar diagrams indicates number of experiments/individual cultures. Box plots (boxes, 25 to 75%; whiskers, minimum and maximum; lines, median) (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Dunnett's test). Number in bar diagrams indicates number of experiments/individual cultures. Box plots (boxes, 25 to 75%; whiskers, minimum and maximum; lines, median) (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Dunnett's test).

S10G). Unfortunately, these larger infarcts were accompanied by marked hemorrhagic transformation (*SI Appendix, Fig. S10H*), hampering a subsequent treatment study.

Finally, to investigate potentially sustained functional effects of HOCPCA (175 mg/kg) after a single, acute treatment at 3 h poststroke, we assessed the interhemispheric transfer and integration of sensorimotor and cognitive information via axons through the corpus callosum 14 d poststroke (44) by recording of compound action potentials (CAPs). This showed an overall significant increase in CAPs with HOCPCA treatment (~50 to 60% of control) (Fig. 5 F and G). The impairments in axon function and reversal after a single treatment with HOCPCA were further supported by a reversal of the stroke-induced decrease in transport of the neuroanatomical tracer biotinylated dextran amine by HOCPCA (*SI Appendix, Fig. S10 I and J*).

Discussion

The role of the CaMKII α hub as a determinant for holoenzyme assembly and structural integrity is well-established (4, 7, 9), yet its functional importance has been less appreciated. This study identifies a binding site in the CaMKII α hub domain for GHB-related small molecules that appears to be the long-sought-after GHB high-affinity site in the mammalian brain. Although this evolutionarily conserved and abundant forebrain high-affinity binding site was reported already in 1982 (45), it has never been unambiguously annotated (30, 31, 46). Compared with our previous proteomics study (30), in which a large number of potential hits were identified, the current study identifies only one main target: CaMKII α . This milestone finding was achieved by an inventive approach combining a bifunctional photoligand, allowing for the introduction of a biotin tag for affinity purification, and the competition of the photoaffinity labeling reaction to permit quantitative




Fig. 5. Neuroprotective effects of HOCPCA in vivo. (A) Timeline for testing of HOCPCA by systemic administration in mice. (B) Effect on infarct size after treatment with a single dose of HOCPCA at 3, 6, or 12 h poststroke in young male mice (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Dunnett's test), representative cresyl violet staining with doses and *n*'s indicated (Left) and quantification of stroke volumes (Right). (C) Functional recovery of young male mice assessed in grid-walking task (preoperative, preoperation; two-way ANOVA (time, treatment), post hoc Dunnett's test) (see also *SI Appendix, Fig. S10*). (D) Treatment of aged female mice (20 to 24 mo) with HOCPCA (175 mg/kg) (two-tailed Student's *t* test), representation as for *B*. (E–G) Axonal function assessed by electrophysiological recording of compound action potentials (CAPs) 14 d poststroke. Young male mice were treated with a single dose of HOCPCA (175 mg/kg) at 3 h poststroke (blue) *cf* vehicle (red) and sham (black). (F) Representative recording of CAPs showing negative peak for myelinated (N1) and unmyelinated axons (N2). (G) Amplitudes of CAP peaks for N1 (Right) and N2 (Left). Means \pm SD, two-way ANOVA (stimulus strength, treatment), post hoc Tukey's test, +*P* < 0.05, ++*P* < 0.01, sham compared with stroke + HOCPCA, **P* < 0.05, ****P* < 0.001, *****P* < 0.0001 sham compared with stroke + vehicle. Box plots (boxes, 25 to 75%; whiskers, minimum and maximum; lines, median).

proteomics. The finding opens for further understanding the role of GHB as a natural GABA metabolite in the mammalian brain.

The CaMKII α hub structure presented here uniquely has a bound ligand. The central Arg residues 453 and 469 in the pocket make important interactions with the carboxylic acid moiety, as previously suggested (2, 4). The fact that the cocrystal with 5-HDC is a tetradecamer shows how this oligomeric state can coexist with ligand bound. The Trp403 displacement upon binding illustrates a hub conformation with potential functional relevance potentially involved in stabilizing an oligomeric state of the protein. Interestingly, this residue was recently reported as a part of a loop mediating kinase docking in CaMKII α lacking a linker region (9), suggesting that a conformational change in this part of the hub may also influence allosteric control of kinase activity (9); although under the standard conditions tested here, no effect on substrate phosphorylation or Thr286 autophosphorylation was observed. As Trp403 is unique to CaMKII α and not in CaMKII $\beta/\gamma/\delta$ subtypes, it may also be an important molecular determinant for CaMKII α selectivity and/or dynamics.

Our structural and molecular data suggest a prototypical action of small-molecule hub ligands, such as GHB analogs, in providing pharmacological compensation of CaMKII α overactivity during abnormal activation states of CaMKII α activity such as excitotoxicity or destabilization. The effect on hub stabilization suggests that compounds can alter hub oligomeric state, but a full understanding of the functional consequences of this remain to be fully investigated. It is envisaged that to detect such effects, assays must be tailored to pick up modulation via the hub. Here, GHB ligands will serve as important tools.

Using the highly selective CaMKII α ligand HOCPCA, we provide pharmacological insight into this role of the enigmatic GHB high-affinity site ligands as cellular protectants. The specific interaction with CaMKII α is obtained from various in vitro binding studies and is further abrogated by target-specific functional effects in neurons: Genetic deletion of CaMKII α abrogates the effect of HOCPCA in *Camk2a*^{-/-} neurons, although Glu responses are generally quite low. Furthermore, a significant reduction in PSD translocation of CaMKII α is observed upon HOCPCA treatment, inferring a CaMKII α -specific effect of this ligand. The peptide CN21 shares the

functional effects with HOCPCA but is not selective for CaMKII α , which may explain its slightly different efficacy. After all, CN21 is not a hub ligand. The in vitro findings indicating a late-onset effect are further corroborated by extensive neuroprotection observed in vivo in mice when HOCPCA is administered 3 to 12 h post-stroke. This points to a clinically relevant time window for patient treatment where brain tissue can be salvaged and where there is currently no other treatment. Given that the effect of HOCPCA on brain connectivity is still apparent 14 d after a single treatment at 3 h poststroke further indicates that compound administration at the right time postinjury can provide lasting effects. It underscores CaMKII α as a clinically relevant target in stroke therapy. Given the limited treatment options and general poor prognosis for functional recovery after cerebral ischemia (47), these findings offer a mechanism with an extended time window for therapeutic rescue of neuronal tissue at risk. Since HOCPCA does not appear to inhibit LTP, analogs of this type are also promising in terms of producing drug candidates with fewer adverse effects given its unique hub site-of-action.

Overall, our elucidations present a principle for regulating CaMKII α function via modulation of the hub domain and suggests CaMKII α hub ligands for ameliorating several states of CaMKII dysregulation such as cerebral ischemia, conditions involving excitotoxicity, and protein destabilization. Whereas the GABA_B receptor has long been the only pharmacologically validated GHB target in vivo (22), we here extend this to include CaMKII α . This prompts considerations of CaMKII α as contributing factor to the neuroprotective actions of GHB but also in clinical conditions like alcoholism and narcolepsy where GHB is employed (48) and plausibly in relation to the endogenous role of GHB. The structural and molecular insight into the CaMKII α hub provided here, and the identification of a unique binding site for small molecules, permits ways for tailoring of future therapeutically relevant drug candidates targeting this very important brain kinase.

1. P. De Koninck, H. Schulman, Sensitivity of CaM kinase II to the frequency of Ca²⁺ oscillations. *Science* **279**, 227–230 (1998).
2. E. D. McSpadden *et al.*, Variation in assembly stoichiometry in non-metazoan homologs of the hub domain of Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. *Protein Sci.* **28**, 1071–1082 (2019).
3. O. S. Rosenberg *et al.*, Oligomerization states of the association domain and the holoenzyme of Ca²⁺/CaM kinase II. *FEBS J.* **273**, 682–694 (2006).
4. A. Hoelz, A. C. Nairn, J. Kuriyan, Crystal structure of a tetradecameric assembly of the association domain of Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent kinase II. *Mol. Cell* **11**, 1241–1251 (2003).
5. O. S. Rosenberg, S. Deindl, R. J. Sung, A. C. Nairn, J. Kuriyan, Structure of the autoinhibited kinase domain of CaMKII and SAXS analysis of the holoenzyme. *Cell* **123**, 849–860 (2005).
6. M. Stratton *et al.*, Activation-triggered subunit exchange between CaMKII holoenzymes facilitates the spread of kinase activity. *eLife* **3**, e01610 (2014).
7. M. Bhattacharyya *et al.*, Molecular mechanism of activation-triggered subunit exchange in Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. *eLife* **5**, e13405 (2016).
8. L. H. Chao *et al.*, A mechanism for tunable autoinhibition in the structure of a human Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent kinase II holoenzyme. *Cell* **146**, 732–745 (2011).
9. R. Sloutsky *et al.*, Heterogeneity in human hippocampal CaMKII transcripts reveals allosteric hub-dependent regulation. *Sci. Signal.* **13**, eaaz0240 (2020).
10. A. P. Torres-Ocampo *et al.*, Characterization of CaMKII α holoenzyme stability. *Protein Sci.* **29**, 1524–1534 (2020).
11. P. H. Chia *et al.*, A homozygous loss-of-function *CAMK2A* mutation causes growth delay, frequent seizures and severe intellectual disability. *eLife* **7**, e23451 (2018).
12. M. Bhattacharyya, D. Karandur, J. Kuriyan, Structural insights into the regulation of Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII). *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **12**, a035147 (2020).
13. K. U. Bayer, P. De Koninck, A. S. Leonard, J. W. Hell, H. Schulman, Interaction with the NMDA receptor locks CaMKII in an active conformation. *Nature* **411**, 801–805 (2001).
14. K. A. Skelding, N. J. Spratt, L. Fluechter, P. W. Dickson, J. A. Rostas, α CaMKII is differentially regulated in brain regions that exhibit differing sensitivities to ischemia and excitotoxicity. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* **32**, 2181–2192 (2012).
15. M. E. Ahmed *et al.*, Beneficial effects of a CaMKII α inhibitor TatCN21 peptide in global cerebral ischemia. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* **61**, 42–51 (2017).
16. G. Deng *et al.*, Autonomous CaMKII activity as a drug target for histological and functional neuroprotection after resuscitation from cardiac arrest. *Cell Rep.* **18**, 1109–1117 (2017).
17. S. J. Coultrap, R. S. Vest, N. M. Ashpole, A. Hudmon, K. U. Bayer, CaMKII in cerebral ischemia. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* **32**, 861–872 (2011).

Materials and Methods

Materials and procedures are described in full in *SI Appendix, Supplementary Information Text*.

Data Availability. All raw mass spectrometry proteomics data from this study have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository (49), with the dataset identifier [PXD019679](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btad016) (50). The atomic coordinates have been deposited to the Protein Data Bank with the identifier [7REC](https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2021-07) (51). All other data are available in the main text or the supplementary information.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank members of all laboratories for their support. We appreciate insightful comments from Ype Elgersma and Howard Schulman and expert advice from Bernhard Küster and Mike Gibson. A special thanks to Kresten Lindorff-Larsen and Nils Ole Dalby for invaluable input. We thank Mehrmouh A. Jolfe for help with the LTP experiments, Ales Marek for providing [³H]HOCPCA radioligand, and Anders S. Kristensen for providing access to the Safire² plate reader. For x-ray crystallography data, we thank James Holton and George Meigs at the Advanced Light Source Beamline 8.3.1 for assistance with data collection. Beamline 8.3.1 at the Advanced Light Source is operated by the University of California Office of the President, Multicampus Research Programs and Initiatives Grant MR-15-328599, the NIH (Grants R01 GM124149 and P30 GM124169), Plexikon Inc., and the Integrated Diffraction Analysis Technologies program of the US Department of Energy Office of Biological and Environmental Research. The Advanced Light Source (Berkeley, CA) is a national user facility operated by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory on behalf of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. This work was supported by the Lundbeck Foundation (Grants R83-2011-8000 to P.W., R77-2011-A6415 to B.F., R139-2012-12270 to P.W., R190-2014-3710 to A.B., R192-2015-666 to U.L., R303-2018-3162 to M.A.S., and R277-2018-260 to P.W.), the Novo Nordisk Foundation (Grants NNF17OC0028664 to P.W., NNF14CC0001 to J.V.O., and NNF19SA0057841 to B.R.K.), the Independent Research Fund Denmark (Grants 8020-00156B to P.W. and 1333-00161B to A.B.K.), a Drug Research Academy and Lundbeck Foundation pre-graduate scholar stipend in Pharmaceutical Neuroscience (to A.S.G.L. and S.J.G.), the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (Grant NWO-VIDI 016.Vidi.188.014 to G.M.v.W.), a Royal Society of New Zealand Project Grant, Brain Research New Zealand (to A.N.C.), and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (J.K.).

18. A. Ottani *et al.*, Effect of γ -hydroxybutyrate in two rat models of focal cerebral damage. *Brain Res.* **986**, 181–190 (2003).
19. S. Sadasivan, T. J. Maher, L. S. Quang, Gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB), gamma-butyrolactone (GBL), and 1,4-butanediol (1,4-BD) reduce the volume of cerebral infarction in rodent transient middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1074**, 537–544 (2006).
20. G. Wendt *et al.*, Gamma-hydroxybutyrate, acting through an anti-apoptotic mechanism, protects native and amyloid-precursor-protein-transfected neuroblastoma cells against oxidative stress-induced death. *Neuroscience* **263**, 203–215 (2014).
21. A. B. Klein *et al.*, Autoradiographic imaging and quantification of the high-affinity GHB binding sites in rodent brain using ³H-HOCPCA. *Neurochem. Int.* **100**, 138–145 (2016).
22. K. Kaupmann *et al.*, Specific γ -hydroxybutyrate-binding sites but loss of pharmacological effects of γ -hydroxybutyrate in GABA_{B(1)}-deficient mice. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **18**, 2722–2730 (2003).
23. P. Wellendorph *et al.*, Novel cyclic γ -hydroxybutyrate (GHB) analogs with high affinity and stereoselectivity of binding to GHB sites in rat brain. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **315**, 346–351 (2005).
24. S. Hog *et al.*, Novel high-affinity and selective biaromatic 4-substituted γ -hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) analogues as GHB ligands: Design, synthesis, and binding studies. *J. Med. Chem.* **51**, 8088–8095 (2008).
25. P. Sabbatini *et al.*, Design, synthesis and in vitro pharmacology of new radiolabelled GHB analogues including photolabile analogues with irreversible binding to the high-affinity GHB binding sites. *J. Med. Chem.* **53**, 6506–6510 (2010).
26. T. Hosoya *et al.*, Novel bifunctional probe for radioisotope-free photoaffinity labeling: Compact structure comprised of photospecific ligand ligation and detectable tag anchoring units. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2**, 637–641 (2004).
27. P. Wellendorph, S. Hog, C. Skonberg, H. Bräuner-Osborne, Phenylacetic acids and the structurally related non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac bind to specific γ -hydroxybutyric acid sites in rat brain. *Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol.* **23**, 207–213 (2009).
28. A. J. Baucum II, B. C. Shonesy, K. L. Rose, R. J. Colbran, Quantitative proteomic analysis of CaMKII phosphorylation and the CaMKII interactome in the mouse forebrain. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **6**, 615–631 (2015).
29. P. Wellendorph *et al.*, Novel radioiodinated γ -hydroxybutyric acid analogues for radiolabeling and photolinking of high-affinity γ -hydroxybutyric acid binding sites. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **335**, 458–464 (2010).
30. N. Absalom *et al.*, $\alpha_4\beta\delta$ GABA_A receptors are high-affinity targets for γ -hydroxybutyric acid (GHB). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 13404–13409 (2012).
31. T. Bay, L. F. Eghorn, A. B. Klein, P. Wellendorph, GHB receptor targets in the CNS: Focus on high-affinity binding sites. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **87**, 220–228 (2014).

32. S. B. Vogensen *et al.*, New synthesis and tritium labeling of a selective ligand for studying high-affinity γ -hydroxybutyrate (GHB) binding sites. *J. Med. Chem.* **56**, 8201–8205 (2013).
33. A. K. Mehta, N. M. Muschaweck, D. Y. Maeda, A. Coop, M. K. Ticku, Binding characteristics of the γ -hydroxybutyric acid receptor antagonist [^3H](2*E*)-(5-hydroxy-5,7,8,9-tetrahydro-6*H*-benzo[*a*]7-annulen-6-ylidene) ethanoic acid in the rat brain. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **299**, 1148–1153 (2001).
34. N. Griem-Krey, A. B. Klein, M. Herth, P. Wellendorph, Autoradiography as a simple and powerful method for visualization and characterization of pharmacological targets. *J. Vis. Exp.* **145**, <https://doi.org/10.3791/58879> (2019).
35. D. Karandur *et al.*, Breakage of the oligomeric CaMKII hub by the regulatory segment of the kinase. *eLife* **9**, e57784 (2020).
36. L. Thiesen *et al.*, In vitro and in vivo evidence for active brain uptake of the GHB analog HOCPCA by the monocarboxylate transporter subtype 1. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **354**, 166–174 (2015).
37. M. H. Wong *et al.*, The KN-93 molecule inhibits calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) activity by binding to $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{CaM}$. *J. Mol. Biol.* **431**, 1440–1459 (2019).
38. O. R. Buonarati *et al.*, CaMKII versus DAPK1 binding to GluN2B in ischemic neuronal cell death after resuscitation from cardiac arrest. *Cell Rep.* **30**, 1–8.e4 (2020).
39. N. M. Ashpole, A. Hudmon, Excitotoxic neuroprotection and vulnerability with CaMKII inhibition. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **46**, 720–730 (2011).
40. D. J. Schuirman, A comparison of the two one-sided tests procedure and the power approach for assessing the equivalence of average bioavailability. *J. Pharmacokinet. Biopharm.* **15**, 657–680 (1987).
41. R. S. Vest, K. D. Davies, H. O'Leary, J. D. Port, K. U. Bayer, Dual mechanism of a natural CaMKII inhibitor. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **18**, 5024–5033 (2007).
42. A. N. Clarkson, B. S. Huang, S. E. Macisaac, I. Mody, S. T. Carmichael, Reducing excessive GABA-mediated tonic inhibition promotes functional recovery after stroke. *Nature* **468**, 305–309 (2010).
43. M. N. Waxham, J. C. Grotta, A. J. Silva, R. Strong, J. Aronowski, Ischemia-induced neuronal damage: A role for calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* **16**, 1–6 (1996).
44. W. Singer, Development and plasticity of cortical processing architectures. *Science* **270**, 758–764 (1995).
45. J. Benavides *et al.*, High affinity binding sites for γ -hydroxybutyric acid in rat brain. *Life Sci.* **30**, 953–961 (1982).
46. C. Andriamampandry *et al.*, Cloning and functional characterization of a γ -hydroxybutyrate receptor identified in the human brain. *FASEB J.* **21**, 885–895 (2007).
47. V. L. Feigin, B. Norrving, G. A. Mensah, Global burden of stroke. *Circ. Res.* **120**, 439–448 (2017).
48. F. P. Busardò, C. Kyriakou, S. Napoletano, E. Marinelli, S. Zaami, Clinical applications of sodium oxybate (GHB): From narcolepsy to alcohol withdrawal syndrome. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* **19**, 4654–4663 (2015).
49. J. A. Vizcaino *et al.*, ProteomeXchange provides globally coordinated proteomics data submission and dissemination. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **32**, 223–226 (2014).
50. U. Leurs, J. V. Olsen, GHB analogs confer neuroprotection through specific interaction with the CaMKII α hub domain. Proteomics IDentifications Database repository (PRIDE). <http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXD019679>. Deposited 6 September 2020.
51. E. D. McSpadden, C. C. Chi, C. L. Gee, J. Kuriyan, Structure of Thr354Asn, Glu355Gln, Thr412Asn, Ile414Met, Il464His, and Phe467Met mutant human CaMKII alpha hub bound to 5-HDC. *Protein Data Bank*. <https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb7REC/pdb>. Deposited 7 July 2020.